1	BEFORE THE
2	FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	
4	x
5	IN THE MATTER OF:
6	CONSENT MARKETS, TARIFFS AND RATES - ELECTRIC :
7	CONSENT MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS :
8	CONSENT MARKETS, TARIFFS AND RATES - GAS :
9	CONSENT ENERGY PROJECTS - HYDRO :
10	CONSENT ENERGY PROJECTS - CERTIFICATES :
11	DISCUSSION ITEMS :
12	STRUCK ITEMS :
13	x
14	
15	838TH COMMISSION MEETING
16	OPEN MEETING
17	
18	Commission Meeting Room
19	Federal Energy Regulatory
20	Commission
21	888 First Street, N.E.
22	Washington, D.C.
23	
24	Tuesday, September 10, 2003
25	11:00 a.m.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
3	CHAIRMAN PAT WOOD, III, Presiding
4	COMMISSIONER NORA MEAD BROWNELL
5	COMMISSIONER WILLIAM L. MASSEY
6	SECRETARY MAGALIE R. SALAS
7	
8	PRESENTERS:
9	DAVE PERLMAN
10	MARY AGNES NIMIS-OMRT
11	SARAH McWANE-OGC
12	JAN MACPHERSON-OGC
13	ROLAND WENTWORTH-OMTR
14	ELIZABETH ANKLAN-OEP
15	MICHAEL McGEHEE-OEP
16	WAYNE GUEST-OMTR
17	CECILIA DESMOND-OGC
18	RICHARD FOLEY-OEP
19	TERRY TURPIN-OEP
20	JOEL ARNESON-OGC
21	BOB MACHUGA-OMTR
22	
23	
24	ALSO PRESENT:
25	JANE W. BEACH, Court Reporter

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN WOOD: Good morning. This open meeting
4	of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission will come to
5	order to consider the matters which have been duly posted in
6	accordance with the Government in the Sunshine Act for this
7	time and place.
8	Would you please join me in the Pledge to our
9	Flag?
10	(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
11	CHAIRMAN WOOD: As we come to the end of the
12	Government's fiscal year, it is my bittersweet task, as it
13	was at this time last year, to recognize some employees who
14	are retiring as they have taken the retirement package. So
15	I want to go over to the other podium here.
16	We have some folks herethe ones here today have
17	among and between them, over 250 years of government
18	service. That is only representing ten people, and we have
19	some 35 people, and I will read all of the names off at the
20	end of my presentation for the folks who have either already
21	gone into retirement or who, for another reason, couldn't be
22	here today.
23	Our first recipient of the Career Service
24	Awardand I will present to three people in the Office of
25	Administrative Litigationgoes to Joseph Kane, who started

```
work with the Federal Power Commission in March of '72.
 1
 2
                   (Applause.)
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD: Also from the Office of
 3
 4
       Administrative Litigation, starting date here in 1971, is
 5
       Ron Lucas.
 6
                   (Applause.)
 7
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD: And finally, with a start date at
       FERC here in '79, but I assume other government service,
 8
 9
       because I can do the math pretty quick, is James Taylor, 33
10
       years.
11
                   (Applause.)
12
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD:
                                   The Office of the Executive
13
       Director -- we have two recipients. The first is receiving
14
        the Exemplary Public Service Award for her quality work, as
15
       recommended by her immediate boss, but also by a number of
       prior Commission Chairmen, is Sarah Tripp. Sarah started in
16
       1973 at the Bureau of Power here at the Power Commission,
17
18
       and has many wonderful jobs since then. So we'll miss you
19
       very much.
2.0
                   (Applause.)
21
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD: And also here from the Office of
22
       the Executive Director, who has had 33 years and eight
23
       months of Federal Government service, is Ms. Josephine Doris
24
       Wiggins.
```

(Applause.)

1	CHAIRMAN WOOD: Our record-setter of the bunch
2	that's here today, from the Office of General Counsel, with
3	39 years and 11 monthswe'll just keep you busy for another
4	month if you want
5	(Laughter.)
6	CHAIRMAN WOOD:who started work at the
7	Commission in 1968, is Merle Bess.
8	(Applause.)
9	CHAIRMAN WOOD: This is an old friend of mine
10	when I was here at the Commission, back in the early '90s.
11	I had the pleasure and honor of working with the gentleman
12	who sets high standards for himself and for all the rest of
13	us, and we're going to miss you a lot. Mark Schaffer has 27
14	years of government service and has been here at the
15	Commission since '88 and before that at the Interstate
16	Commerce Commission. So, best of luck to you, Mark.
17	(Applause.)
18	CHAIRMAN WOOD: Of the 35 people who will be
19	retiring in September, we have one that was a member of the
20	Senior Executive Service and was a gentleman who, this year,
21	performed a highly visible role in coordinating the
22	Commission's effort in investigation of the power and energy
23	market activities in the Western United States in the years
24	2000 and 2001, producing a report so seminal and big that it
25	has become simply known as the Gelinas Report.

- 1 (Laughter.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN WOOD: So I would like to present also
- 3 an Exemplary Public Service Award to our outgoing SES, Mr.
- 4 Don Gelinas, who has been here since 1973.
- 5 (Applause.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Also from OMTR and who began
- 7 service here in June of 1975, is Albert Rogers.
- 8 (Applause.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN WOOD: And also another old friend from
- 10 when I was here last time, and then at the time was the
- 11 Confidential Assistant to then Commissioner Moeller, and now
- a stalwart in the Office of the Secretary, and has been with
- 13 FERC since 1974--you don't look old enough. I'm doing the
- 14 math and it doesn't work--is Pat Snesrud.
- 15 (Applause.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN WOOD: I would like to also, for the
- 17 record, recognize the folks who couldn't be with us today.
- 18 We do have a number in the Office of Energy Projects that
- 19 are actually stationed outside of the Washington office in
- the five regional offices, but I'd like to recognize here in
- the Washington office, Jack Duckworth, Peter McGovern, and
- Glenda Godwin, who are leaving, and in the Chicago Regional
- 23 Office, Elizabeth Bethke; in the New York Office, Rebecca
- Debes; and also in the Chicago Office, again, James Kolak
- and Mary Lauermann and Charles Klinkenberg; in the Portland

1	Regional Office, Martha Billings; in the San Francisco
2	Regional Office, Mack Bautista, Robert Peacock, and Ira
3	Simmons.
4	Also not here today in OGC is Tim Abendroth,
5	Marty Novak in OMOI. In OMTR, we also have Bill Greene, Lou
6	Ann Griffith, Christine Walker, Donald Zero and Grace Zero.
7	
8	In the Office of the Executive Director, in addition to
9	Sarah and Doris, we have Wayne McDaniel, Herman Dalgetty,
10	and Kenneth Anderson.
11	And in OAL, we have So Kim, Dawn Martin, James
12	Taylor. I think that should beno, I already said James,
13	I'm sorry. I missed one. Don Martin and So Kim from the
14	Office of Administrative Litigation.
15	So, we're losing a wealth of talent this year,
16	but that means that the rest of America gets them, so I look
17	forward to seeing what you all do in your second career, but
18	thank you for what you gave to all of us.
19	(Applause.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 CHAIRMAN WOOD: All right, thank you.
- 2 Madam Secretary?
- 3 SECRETARY SALAS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and
- 4 good morning, Commissioners.
- 5 The following ID items have been struck from the
- 6 agenda this morning since the issuance of the Sunshine
- 7 Notice on September 3rd, 2003. They are as follows:
- E-2, E-45, E-53, G-1, G-18 and H-1.
- 9 The consent --
- 10 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Just for the record, I believe on
- all but one of those items, we're going to attempt to move
- those notationally in the next few days, so people that are
- waiting on those orders shouldn't have to wait too long.
- 14 SECRETARY SALAS: Duly noted, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 And the consent agenda for this morning is the
- 16 Electric Items E-5, E-8, E-11, E-12, E-13, E-15, E-16, E-17,
- 17 E-19, E-20, E-21, E-24, E-29, E-30, E-31, E-33, E-35, E-36,
- 18 E-39, E-40, E-43, E-44, E-46, E-47, E-49, E-50, E-51, E-52
- 19 and E-54.
- 20 Gas items G-2, G-3, G-5, G-6, G-7, G-9, G-10, G-
- 21 11, G-13, G-14, G-15, G-16, G-17, G-19, G-20, G-21.
- 22 Hydro items H-2.
- 23 Certificates C-1, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-7, C-8, C-10,
- 24 C-11, C-13, C-14, C-15 and C-17.
- 25 As to G-19, Commissioner Brownell is concurring

- with a separate statement and Commissioner Massey votes
- 2 first this morning.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Aye.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Aye, noting my
- 5 concurrence on G-19.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Aye.
- 7 SECRETARY SALAS: The first item in today's
- 8 discussion agenda is A-3. This is the Federal Energy
- 9 Regulatory Commission's strategic plan for fiscal year 2004
- through 2008, and the chairman has the remarks.
- 11 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Thank you, Madam Secretary.
- I would just like to, as we did at this time last
- year and at this time two years ago, to put forth for our
- consideration and approval the Strategic Plan for the Agency
- 15 that went into some level of detail about what we in fact
- 16 expect to be doing in the near future both in the coming
- 17 year and in subsequent years after that.
- 18 But the point of the Strategic Plan is to, again,
- 19 put out our vision and mission and goals, but more
- 20 specifically--and the changes that happen from time to time
- on this document do reflect that we have checked items off
- the list and other items have come on the list.
- So I am pleased actually as I look back to where
- we were two years ago with the first Making Markets Work
- 25 Strategic Plan, and was pleased to see that despite all the

- 1 trees that sometimes clutter our way, how much actually we
- 2 had done to achieve our announced objectives here at this
- 3 Agency.
- 4 We do have still more work to do in providing
- 5 certainty in getting infrastructure and getting market rules
- 6 balanced and beefing up our vigilant oversight capability,
- 7 but I have to say it's a refreshing exercise to go through
- 8 this with the Senior Staff with you all and with others to
- 9 really look at what it is we need to do to accomplish our
- 10 statutory objectives here. And I'm pleased to, based on
- 11 consultation with all of the above, to put this before you
- 12 all for our joint consideration.
- COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: So in fact Pat, the main
- thing is that the first couple of years we planned the work
- and now we're really working the plan.
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN WOOD: I think that's the -- where'd you
- 18 get that?
- 19 (Laughter.)
- 20 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: From one of our leaders.
- 21 CHAIRMAN WOOD: I was agreeing, I do want to say,
- 22 with -- this is a fun place to work, folks. Just in case
- ya'll need to know, we just kind of do this on a daily
- 24 basis. Laughter is a good tonic.
- 25 I really don't have a lot to add to that.

```
1
                   (Laughter.)
 2
                   COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Maybe Bill does.
 3
                   COMMISSIONER MASSEY: I've forgotten my lines.
 4
                   (Laughter.)
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD: We script this very well.
 5
 6
                   (Laughter.)
 7
                   COMMISSIONER MASSEY: This is a good document.
 8
       It reflects our core values. There are three main goals
 9
       stated here.
                      They've been modified some but in looking at
10
       goal number one to promote a secure, high quality,
11
       environmentally responsible infrastructure through
12
       consistent policies, I think we're all reminded of how
13
       important that goal is now for all those who are involved in
14
       the regulation of electricity and natural gas industries,
15
       and I think this document sets out our responsibilities with
16
       respect to achieving that goal quite well.
17
                   So I commend you for bringing this before us,
18
       Mr. Chairman and, in looking this over fairly carefully, I
19
       can say that it reflects my core values here at the Agency.
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD: Great.
2.0
21
                   COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Good job to the authors
22
       and thank you to the staff who worked so hard on this.
23
                   CHAIRMAN WOOD:
                                   Okey-doke. So with that I will
24
       entertain a motion to approve the document.
```

COMMISSIONER BROWNELL:

So moved.

1 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: 3 CHAIRMAN WOOD: 4 Thank you. The second item for discussion 5 SECRETARY SALAS: 6 this morning is E-3. This is a presentation on the guidance 7 on Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operator filing requirements under the Federal Power 8 9 Act. And Mr. Dave Perlman will be doing the presentation 10 this morning. 11 MR. PERLMAN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 12 Commissioners. I have a brief presentation on a brief 13 Order. 14 This item provides quidance and clarification 15 regarding the elements of RTO filings in light of the D.C. 16 Circuit's Atlantic City decision. Simply put, filings 17 establishing an RTO will be considered pursuant to Section 18 205, unless the transfer of operational control over 19 transmission includes the transfer of ownership, 2.0 proprietary interest, or lease of transmission facilities, 21 or the transfer of jurisdictional contracts. 22 Consideration of such issues as the requirements 23 for entry into or exit from, an RTO will be considered under

The Commission will be looking to the same issues

24

25

Section 205.

- 1 set forth in Order 2000 in making its Section 205
- determination and, in the event that a member seeks to exit
- 3 an RTO, such exit will be considered pursuant to Section
- 4 205.
- I'd be happy to answer any questions you may
- 6 have.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: This is a follow up to the
- 8 Atlantic City decision?
- 9 MR. PERLMAN: Yes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: In which the Court of
- 11 Appeals for the D.C. Circuit essentially said, if there's a
- 12 change of operational control, that does not trigger Section
- 13 203.
- MR. PERLMAN: Correct.
- 15 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: And, but clearly, 205 is
- implicated by a number of filings that would be made here
- 17 before the Commission that involve the formation of an RTO
- 18 or exit from an RTO.
- MR. PERLMAN: Yes. Typically the establishment
- of an RTO will require a multiplicity of agreements and
- 21 arrangements among the participants and those types of
- documents will require 205 filings and a determination by
- the Commission that the balance of responsibilities among
- the participants in the ISO/RTO operator, is just and
- 25 reasonable and include entrance obligations and exit

- 1 requirements and obligations.
- 2 And all of those things would be considered by
- 3 the Commission pursuant to Section 205 and the specific
- 4 desire to exit such an arrangement would also require a
- 5 Section 205 filing that the Commission would consider.
- 6 CHAIRMAN WOOD: This applies to the court's
- 7 interpretation of the Federal Power Act. Does this have any
- 8 statement as to, since we will no longer be doing transfer
- 9 applications, whether a state needs to go through that
- 10 process or not?
- 11 MR. PERLMAN: This order does not address that
- issue.
- 13 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Okay. Anything else?
- 14 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: So we would not, we're not
- saying in this order that we're going to require a separate
- 16 205 type filing for a transfer of operational control.
- We're saying:
- 18 As you go about transferring operational control,
- we will look at the documents that you are required to file
- associated with that, whatever they are, under the 205
- 21 standard?
- MR. PERLMAN: That's correct. As you can
- imagine, the establishment of an RTO has, typically, an
- 24 element of such transfer and is embodied in typically
- 25 contracts and agreements that the Commission has on file and

1 must find to be just and reasonable pursuant to Section 205. 2. So there's not a specific carve-out and 3 requirement that there's an operational control transfer 4 component. It is implicit in the establishment of these types of organizations and the Commission will consider that 5 6 again appropriately pursuant to the Order 2000 requirements, 7 which cover this matter regardless of whether it's 203 or 8 205. In this instance it will be 205 but the 9 10 substantive considerations are really unchanged. The 11 statutory application will be different. 12 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Thank you. 13 Aye. 14 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: 15 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Ave. The next item for discussion is 16 SECRETARY SALAS: 17 E-38, Virginia Electric and Power Company with a 18 presentation by Mary Agnes Nimis accompanied by Sarah 19 McWane, Jan Macpherson and Roland Wentworth. 2.0 MS. NIMIS: Good morning. With me today are 21 Sarah McWane, Jan Macpherson and Roland Wentworth. 22 In this Order, Virginia Electric and Power 23 Company, Docket Numbers ER-03-743-001 and 002, the 24 Commission denies Tanaska Virginia II Partners, L.L.P.'s

request for rehearing of the extension of the competitive

- 1 power ventures Cunningham Creek, L.L.C.'s milestones.
- In addition, it accepts VEPCO's compliance
- filings, subject to modification, and provides guidance on a
- 4 queue management issue.
- 5 The Commission has stated that it is in favor of
- 6 allowing interconnection customers flexibility with respect
- 7 to interconnection milestones.
- 8 However, it is important to ensure that
- 9 interconnection queues do not become clogged with
- 10 speculative projects. This Order provides guidance on what
- 11 to do when the existing transmission system capability to
- 12 support interconnections without upgrades is sufficient to
- accommodate only the generator or generators that hold the
- 14 highest position in the interconnection queue.
- In this situation, if the lower queue generator
- is ready to interconnect before the higher queue generator,
- 17 the transmission provider must give the next generator in
- the queue the option of interconnecting using to the extent
- it can the transmission capability that has been set aside
- for the higher queue generator.
- Then, if and when the higher queue generator
- 22 completes its project and interconnection, the lower queue
- generator will have to fund the network upgrades needed for
- the higher queue generators' interconnection to the extent
- 25 that the need for those upgrades is due to the lower queue

- generators' use of the excess transmission capability and
- 2 its decision to have its interconnection completed ahead of
- 3 the higher queue generator.
- 4 The lower queue generator would also be
- 5 responsible for any additional study costs. This ensures
- 6 that, if the higher queue generator withdraws from the
- queue, the lower queue generator will not be in the position
- 8 of having to fund network upgrades that turn out not to be
- 9 needed.
- 10 However, it also ensures that, if the higher
- 11 queue generator's project is constructed as planned, it will
- not be required to fund costs in excess of the costs
- applicable to its original queued position.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: So effectively what we're
- doing here is ensuring the flexibility that you mentioned.
- 17 We are allowing Tanaska to move forward not at the expense
- of CPV but kind of moving them aside, as it were, until they
- 19 can meet their milestones.
- 20 And are we not asking for them to report to us
- the progress on their milestones so there's an independent
- 22 entity taking a look at that so we can ensure there is an
- 23 objective view of progress?
- MS. NIMIS: Correct.
- 25 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Well I'm really--we were

- 1 very interested in this Order and called this Order because
- 2 periodically we do hear about clogging in the queue. And as
- 3 the economy picks up and the markets pick up, I think it's
- 4 important that we work out any of the queuing issues and
- 5 encourage people to come forward and talk about those with
- 6 us and make us aware of those. Because I think this is
- 7 really a critical piece of the infrastructure that we need
- 8 in the marketplace.
- 9 So I thank you for your work and all the
- 10 questions we were bombarding you with yesterday.
- 11 CHAIRMAN WOOD: I appreciate your focusing on
- that in the Order to make it crisper and I wonder, Jan, I
- guess i'll ask you. Is this something that we ought to put
- in the Order 2003? Or was this, did this issue come up
- 15 there?
- 16 MS. MACPHERSON: It didn't come up but we are
- 17 naturally thinking about whether we ought to put it in the
- 18 Order on Rehearing.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: So CPV still has its place
- in the queue under this Order?
- MS. NIMIS: Correct.
- 22 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: They just can't--
- 23 MS. MACPHERSON: This Order doesn't--let me
- just--this Order doesn't actually make a finding as to
- who's ready to go and who's not. It doesn't say, you know,

- 1 Tanaska, you can go ahead or CPV, you can go ahead. It just
- 2 sets out the policy. Factual showings would still have to
- 3 be made.
- 4 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Right. But CPV is ahead in
- 5 the queue?
- 6 MS. NIMIS: Right.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Yes, and under this Order
- 8 they remain ahead in the queue?
- 9 MS. NIMIS: Correct.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: And the issue we address
- 11 here is, what if they don't move on their project within a
- 12 reasonable period of time?
- MS. MACPHERSON: Well it's--you know, the queue
- management issue is, it's not so much making a determination
- as to whether they aren't ready in a reasonable period of
- 16 time. It's just saying, if the second person in line is
- 17 ready before the first person in line, they can go ahead and
- 18 use the unused capacity.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: As long as they pay
- 20 whatever increase in costs there are associated with that?
- MS. MACPHERSON: Right.
- 22 CHAIRMAN WOOD: So with this policy, the second
- person in line is never going to be worse off than what they
- are under the old policy?
- 25 MS. MACPHERSON: Exactly. Nobody's going to be

- 1 worse off.
- 2 CHAIRMAN WOOD: You might be better off because
- 3 you can get on line faster. And if the first guy never
- 4 shows up, which can happen anywhere in the country, these
- 5 underwriters can decide not to go forward -- then they're
- 6 actually better off because they got on-line maybe even
- 7 faster than they thought.
- 8 MS. MACPHERSON: Right.
- 9 CHAIRMAN WOOD: So if this is an attempt to do,
- 10 and I appreciate you all focusing on it, is allowing
- 11 basically a slow mover not to block the traffic for
- 12 everybody else that's ready to scoot on out into the
- marketplace, which is certainly what we want, but yet
- 14 preserve their rights they got by being first in line.
- 15 I like it.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Yes, I appreciate all
- 17 staff's work on this. I think this is a very nice
- 18 resolution of a complicated issue.
- 19 CHAIRMAN WOOD: All right, let's vote.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Aye.
- 21 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Aye.
- 22 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Aye.
- Thank you all.
- 24 SECRETARY SALAS: The next item is C-2, Kinder
- 25 Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission, L.L.C., with a

- 1 presentation by Elizabeth Anklam accompanied by Michael
- 2 McGehee, Wayne Guest and Cecilia Desmond.
- 3 MS. ANKLAM: Good morning Chairman Wood and
- 4 Commissioners. C-2 is the final Order issuing certificate
- for Kinder Morgan's Cheyenne Market Center project, which
- 6 will allow the receipt, transportation, storage and
- 7 subsequent redelivery of natural gas suppliers near the
- 8 Cheyenne Hub and Weld County, Colorado, and the Huntsman
- 9 Storage Facility in Cheyenne County, Nebraska.
- This project consists of six compressor units
- along Kinder Morgan's system between the Rockport compressor
- 12 station and the Huntsman Storage Facility, 10 injection-
- 13 withdrawal wells at the Huntsman Storage Facility and
- various related facilities at an estimated cost of \$26
- 15 million.
- 16 The storage component of this new service
- 17 proposed will provide up to six Bcf of incremental storage
- at the Huntsman facility, as well as 41 million cubic feet
- 19 per day of associated injection capability and 68 million
- 20 cubic feet per day of associated deliverability.
- 21 By creating this new market center, Kinder Morgan
- 22 will help address the needs of both producers and shippers
- in the Rocky Mountain region in several different ways.
- First, the project will give shippers in the
- 25 region new choices as to the type of service offered at the

1	hub. Particularly with regard to additional abilities to
2	store gas and use receipt and deliverability points on short
3	notice.
4	Second, the project will give customers gas
5	supply management options required due to pricing volatility
6	caused by varying weather conditions in the Rocky Mountain
7	region.
8	Finally, the Cheyenne Market Center will serve to
9	enhance deliverability options in order to provide an
10	additional outlet for Rocky Mountain Gas to an otherwise
11	constrained production area.
12	This concludes my presentation.
13	CHAIRMAN WOOD: Thank you, Elizabeth.
14	I'm just glad to see again Wyoming Projects
15	moving through here, I appreciate the creativity of the
16	company or Kinder Morgan proposing this service here. The
17	Order does make some changes to that service in the interest
18	of our broader open access and transparency policies for the
19	last decade.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 That should not be an impediment to the proposed 2 service, but if they are we will hear about it on rehearing. I appreciate the effort, and I think it should 3 4 result in some needed liquidity and good gas management 5 options in that increasingly important region of our gas-6 producing part of the country. 7 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: I would join you in your We've heard a lot the last year from the 8 comments. 9 producers on the lack of options and lack of storage, so I 10 appreciate the Company's willingness to consider an 11 investment and the development of that market hub, which I 12 think will, in fact, add a lot of liquidity to the 13 marketplace. So I'm pleased with this and pleased with the 14 15 work that you did to make sure that the larger issues were 16 dealt with in terms of the long-term development of 17 liquidity in the markets and competition. 18 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Aye. 19 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: 2.0 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Aye. 21 SECRETARY SALAS: And the final item for 22 discussion this morning is C-9, Cameron LNG, LLC. This is a 23 presentation by Richard Foley, who is accompanied by Terry -24 Turpin, Joel Arneson, and Bob Machuga.

MR. FOLEY: Good morning, Chairman Wood and

- 1 Commissioners. Item C-9 is a draft Order which grants final
- 2 authorization to Cameron LNG, LLC, to construct and operate
- 3 a new terminal to import liquified natural gas.
- 4 This construction operation authorization will be
- 5 the first one issued for a new LNG import terminal in the
- 6 continental United States in over 25 years. Cameron LNG was
- originally filed as a proposal by Hackberry LNG, LLC.
- 8 In May of this year, Sempra Energy LNG
- 9 Corporation acquired the Hackberry project from Dynegy
- 10 Midstream Services and changed the name of the project to
- 11 Cameron LNG, after the name of the parish in Louisiana where
- 12 the terminal is located.
- The successful planning, government review,
- financing, and construction of new LNG import terminals is
- 15 needed so that more sources of natural gas can be made
- available to meet the energy market's increasing demands.
- 17 In the years ahead, the increasing demand for
- 18 natural gas in the United States is expected to exceed
- 19 currently available supplies, thus increased imports of LNG
- are seen as a vital part of the nation's energy mix.
- 21 Eventual construction and operation of the
- 22 Cameron LNG terminal will increase the number of LNG import
- facilities available to serve interstate energy markets to
- five. That will be two terminals in Louisiana and one each
- in Georgia, Maryland, and Massachusetts.

1	The proposed Cameron LNG project includes an LNG
2	terminal that will be constructed near Hackberry, Louisiana.
3	
4	It will have three LNG storage tanks that will each hold one
5	million barrels of LNG, which is equal to 3.5 billion cubic
6	feet of natural gas.
7	The project also includes a 35-mile pipeline that
8	will extend from the terminal to an interconnection with
9	interstate pipeline facilities operated by Transcontinental
10	Gas Pipeline Corporation in Beauregard Parish, Louisiana.
11	At full operation, the terminal will have a maximum sendout
12	of 1.5 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day.
13	In a December 2002 Order announcing its
14	preliminary determination on this proposal, the Commission
15	initiated a new policy for regulating LNG import facilities
16	by not requiring this project to offer open access
17	terminalling services or maintain a tariff and rate schedule
18	for such services.
19	Instead, the Commission said it would view this
20	new LNG plant as it would a production facility, allowing
21	the sales of natural gas from the LNG plant to compete with
22	other sales of natural gas for the Gulf Coast in a
23	deregulated, competitive commodity market.
24	This new policy has had a very positive impact on
25	LNG infrastructure development. Indeed, several other new

1 LNG import projects, both onshore and offshore, are at

- various advanced stages of the planning and governmental approval process.
- There are currently seven other LNG projects
 seeking construction authorization from the Federal
 Government. These projects would have a potential maximum
 sendout of 5.8 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day.
- There are five projects pending at the

 Commission, two of which are using the Commission's NEPA

 prefiling process, and two offshore deepwater terminal

 applications are also pending at the Coast Guard.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- The Final Environmental Impact Statement for this project was issued in August, and about 460 copies were mailed to agencies, groups, and individuals on the mailing list. The Final EIS addresses the comments of 17 individuals, received at a public meeting, and 18 written comments.
 - Publication of the Draft EIS in March was preceded by many months of detailed study by the Commission Staff, of the soundness of the project's design, safety of its operations, and its impacts on the environment.
- The draft Order before you requires Cameron LNG to comply with various environmental and safety conditions recommended in the Final EIS.
- These recommendations are the product of efforts of several cooperating government agencies and the

- participation of many private parties that will be affected by the project.
- For example, in development of the Final EIS,

 potential ship traffic congestion impacts from additional

 LNG ship traffic were examined. Factors causing vessel

 delays, as well as specific operational differences between

 LNG vessels and other large vessels in the Calcasieu Ship

Channel were evaluated.

2.0

The draft Order recommends mitigation measures which would benefit all Channel users and may reduce some of the current sources of vessel delays. One such measure is a requirement that Cameron LNG file a plan with the Commission and the Coast Guard that shows how they will provide for dedicated tug services for LNG ships using their terminal, so that their project would not affect the availability of tugs for other vessels in the Channel.

Also, as discussed in the Final EIS, the construction of the proposed LNG facility would affect about 55 acres of wetlands. The draft Order requires Cameron LNG, in consultation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and several state natural resources agencies, to prepare a plan to mitigate for the permanent loss of these wetlands.

Cameron LNG will create at least 85 acres of

- 1 coastal marsh in the area near the proposed terminal site,
- 2 using material dredged from the construction of the
- 3 facility.
- 4 Finally, Staff completed a cryogenic design and
- 5 technical review of the proposed LNG terminal. The
- 6 cryogenic design and technical review emphasizes engineering
- 7 design and safety concepts and the expected operational
- 8 reliability of the proposed facilities.
- 9 Several areas of concern were noted, and specific
- 10 recommendations to be addressed prior to construction were
- identified and have been included in the draft Order.
- 12 The draft Order before you would also grant
- Cameron's request to allow it up to five years to place the
- 14 project in service, instead of the three years previously
- allowed in the preliminary determination.
- 16 Also, the draft Order grants the Company's appeal
- of a requirement that it file its customer contracts prior
- to commencing construction of its facilities. Under the new
- 19 policy for LNG terminals mentioned earlier, the draft Order
- 20 states that the Commission has no regulatory need to see
- 21 such contracts, and agrees with the Company that they need
- 22 not be put in the Commission's public files. That concludes
- 23 my presentation, and the team that worked on the project is
- here to answer any questions.
- 25 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: I have two questions --

- 1 actually three: You referred to plans to deal with
- 2 congestion problems that may result as the consequence of
- 3 this plan, but if I understood you, there are already
- 4 congestion problems; that, in fact, the addition of this and
- 5 the new kind of set of requirements that we have, would
- 6 solve an existing problem. Did I understand that?
- 7 MR. FOLEY: This will all help to solve the
- 8 problems. Terry may be able to comment more on the ship
- 9 issues.
- 10 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Okay.
- MR. TURPIN: Yeah, that's pretty much the case.
- 12 There were several recommendations that were made in a
- previous case on the same shipping channel, the trunk line
- 14 LNG facility that was before the Commission, I think, in
- 15 last December.
- 16 And some of those mitigation measures, coupled
- 17 with the recommendation that had Cameron supply or secure
- dedicated tug services, will help us with the delays
- 19 throughout the Channel for all the users.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: On the issue of safety,
- 21 which is always an issue that we hear when we're out on the
- road, describe, if you will for us, how we're managing to
- address some of those safety issues and the work we're doing
- with the other agencies, including, I think, the Coast
- 25 Guard. I think people need to get a clear understanding and

- some confidence in the fact that we take their concerns very seriously.
- MR. TURPIN: Well, as far as the Coast Guard
- 4 goes, they have reviewed our marine safety section. The
- 5 section in our Final EIS was actually prepared in
- 6 consultation with them, and many of the safety matters were
- 7 addressed also in consultation with the Department of
- 8 Transportation and the Office of Pipeline Safety.
- 9 We met with them on one occasion to discuss some
- of the exclusion zones that will surround the facility.
- 11 They are there for the protection of the public in case
- 12 there's an LNG release.
- We also looked at the preliminary engineering
- design from the perspective of evaluating the safety
- 15 concepts and the design that they've used to ensure that the
- 16 plant will run well.
- 17 MR. FOLEY: There's a very extensive program that
- 18 the Office of Energy Projects has of inspecting LNG plants
- as they are being constructed, and, after they're being
- 20 constructed, as they're being operated. And there's some
- 21 manuals that are adhered to and ongoing recommendations that
- are made concerning all types of issues of safety and
- ongoing operations. So that's the program that we have
- internally, plus coupled with all the cooperation with other
- agencies, we've been able to make a very good safety package

1 for LNG plants. 2. COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: The third issue is that 3 there was quite extensive work done on addressing the 4 environmental concerns, I think, that were raised by a 5 number of parties. 6 And I appreciate the work that you did there and 7 feel comfortable that we have and will continue to evaluate any future issues that may arise as the project moves 8 9 forward. I think the Company has taken their environmental 10 stewardship quite seriously, so I was pleased to note the 11 extensive review that you gave. 12 And I'm excited about this project because I 13 think we've seen this summer and will continue to confront 14 the issues of enough of this valuable resource and developing alternative sources, I think is incredibly 15 16 important as long as we can balance the other issues, which 17 I think this project has done. So, I'm glad to support it and thank you for your lots of work that you've done here. 18 19 2.0 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Let me ask this question: 21 The sponsors say that it will take them 37 months, roughly, 22 to build this project. Is that a generic rule of thumb, or is that because of the unique aspects of this project? 23

The tank sizes are larger than

you have an opinion about that?

MR. FOLEY:

24

1 previously constructed. I think that's going to take quite

- a bit of engineering and design and construction. And the site -- the materials have to be brought to the site, so three years to build a major project like this is not too
- 4 far off the ball park, if you look at something like the
- 5 Woodrow Wilson Bridge, which is taking five years or ten
- 6 years to build.

- It's a major project, and the investment and the size and the complexity is -- three years is a pretty good
- 9 ball park, and they had requested that we just let them
- allow up to five years, because if they go over a little
- bit, they won't have to come back for any extensions, and I
- to go forward and start construction and start their further

think Sempra Energy, as the new sponsor, will be committed

- 14 preparations before they can start.
- There are a lot of efforts that go into it.
- 16 Before they can start construction, there's requirements and
- 17 studies and reports that they have to submit back, and then
- 18 the Director of the Office of Energy Projects will release
- 19 the permission for construction to begin.
- MR. ROBINSON: Commissioner, in discussions we've
- 21 had with proponents of LNG facilities around the country, it
- 22 seems like three years is almost the standard that you hear
- from everyone, that it will take about three years to
- 24 construct the project.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Thank you. Aye.

COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: 7	Aye.
--------------------------	------

2 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Aye.

2.0

I think everybody remembers on the day of something significant, where were you when something happened. On the day -- actually, on the continuing day of the blackout, I was at the sister terminal at Trunkline, right up the river from this one in Louisiana. I have to say that when I looked at the size of the investment in the infrastructure, it is significant. As Rich mentioned, the tanks there -- they're doing three of those tanks, which is what they have at Trunkline, too, that's big, and they're building it in the swamps. I think there's probably going to be some remediation work on the foundation that's going to have to be done there, so it's a great technology.

I was there actually when a ship came in, and I saw the offloading. There's a lot to see in the offloading that you wouldn't see on basically any liquid cargo, but it was a pretty impressive operation.

And we were there, interestingly enough, with the woman who is head of the Sabine POC, which is the next watershed over, which are the -- actually, my great grandfather was one of the original ones, but they move -- they escort the boats of any size, and particularly of any kind of cargo nature, from the Gulf into the berth, so there are people whose professional job it is to guide these

- large ships up and down.
- 2 And so she was over looking at that in Lake
- 3 Charles, just to kind of get a sense for -- they're talking
- 4 about an LNG in the same watershed where she is. They're
- just getting a sense for what's involved there, and so we've
- 6 talked to the pilots and the Calcasieu Watershed. So that
- 7 was kind of a forgotten role, but it is one that I think
- 8 came up in this particular record as you just discussed with
- 9 the gang here.
- 10 And it's well provided for. I think there are
- 11 professionals out there in the local area who do this for a
- job, to make sure that those safety issues are properly
- addressed. So, this is good to see. It's rare that in such
- 14 a brief time on the Commission, we've already discussed
- 15 policy, evaluated it, changed it, and seen actually a
- 16 business response to some changes in our policy that could
- 17 lead to significant improvement in our natural gas supply
- 18 picture. So this is good stuff.
- 19 Before the meeting closes, my golly, I think we
- 20 have one more --
- 21 SECRETARY SALAS: Yes. Mr. Chairman and
- Commissioners, I did not read Items C-6 and C-16 as part of
- your consent agenda, so what I would like to do, if I may,
- is to take an additional consent vote on those items.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MASSEY: Aye.

- 1 COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Aye. 2 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Aye.
- 3 SECRETARY SALAS: Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

4 CHAIRMAN WOOD: Before we close, I'd like to say 5 that the events of August 14th and 15th to the North and 6 Northeast and Northwest of here, were significant ones for a 7 huge part of the population on our continent, and I want to express my appreciation for the hard and immediate response 8 of the folks on our staff to addressing the crisis and doing 9 10 what we can to help in addressing it, both on the short term 11 and the long term.

A number of other agencies here in town and at the state levels, as well as NERC, were involved in this effort and have continued to be involved in this effort. I also want to tip my hat to them and to the other hardworking professionals in both the United States and Canadian utilities at MISO, at the New York ISO, at PJM, at the IMO in Ontario, and others that worked really hard to get these lights back on in a day or slightly over a day.

The joint U.S.-Canadian Task Force will continue its work on both the cause of the event and on its propagation throughout such a large area, and then once having found facts, move on to some policy recommendation phase.

25 Allison Silverstein from my Staff is our rep to

1	the working group leadership, and god help anybody that
2	doesn't do their work.
3	(Laughter.)
4	CHAIRMAN WOOD: It's important to understand this
5	voltage collapse, exactly what it is and what on our side,
6	we can do to make sure that it doesn't happen again
7	elsewhere, but also to work more broadly with other agencies
8	as well as our folks in Congress to make sure that we and
9	others have sufficient authority to address these issues so
10	that we do learn from what happened here. So, out of every
11	event comes an opportunity to clarify things, and I think
12	that this is clearly one of those.
13	So, the summer is officially over and we're back
14	to work.
15	COMMISSIONER BROWNELL: Did we have a week?
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIRMAN WOOD: We'll see you in three weeks.
18	Meeting adjourned.
19	(Whereupon, at 12:00 noon, the open meeting was
20	concluded.)
21	
22	
23	
24	